DATA VALIDATION REPORT
MICROBAC LABORATORY SDG L13101499

Project / Site Name:	Environmental Remediation Services at White Sands Missile Range (WSMR), NM; CCWS-11, Open Burn/Open Detonation (OB/OD) Area
Project No.:	139791
Data Reviewer:	M. Lyon, Shaw Environmental, Inc. a CB&I Company
Review Date:	December 3, 2013
Matrix:	Groundwater, 4 field samples
Parameters:	Perchlorate 6850 Explosives 8330B Nitrate + Nitrite, as Nitrogen 353.2
Validation Level:	EPA Level III
Laboratory:	Microbac Laboratories, Inc. Ohio Valley Division
Sample Delivery Group	L13101499
Sample Nos.:	HTA13-1013-1, HTA12-1013-1, HTA11-1013-1, and HTA10A-1013-1.
Comments:	There were no field QC samples submitted for this SDG.

The data were reviewed and qualified according to the *Sampling and Analysis Plan/Quality Assurance Project Plan, Environmental Remediation Services, White Sands Missile Range, New Mexico October 2010*; *Department of Defense Quality Systems Manual for Environmental Laboratories, Final Version 4.2, 2010*; laboratory-specific statistical process control criteria, and the analytical method specific requirements.

DATA VALIDATION REQUIREMENTS

Level IV or Full Validation includes all parameters listed below. Level III Cursory Validation parameters are indicated by an asterisk (*).

Organic Parameters

* Temperature
* Holding times
  GC/MS instrument performance check
* Initial and continuing calibrations
* Blanks
* Surrogate recoveries
* Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
* Laboratory control sample / blank spike
* Field duplicate
* Internal standard performance
  Target compound identification
  Tentatively identified compounds
  Compound quantitation
  Reported detection limits
  System performance
* Overall data assessment

Inorganic and General Chemistry Parameters

* Temperature
* Holding times
* Initial and continuing calibration
* Blanks
* Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate
* Laboratory control sample / blank spike
* Field duplicate
* Matrix duplicate
  ICP interference check sample
  CVAA / GFAA quality controls
* ICP serial dilution
  Sample results verification
  Analyte quantitation
  Reported detection limits
* Overall data assessment

DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS

No qualifier indicates that the data are acceptable both qualitatively and quantitatively.

U Not detected. The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the level of the associated value. The associated value is the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ).

J Estimated. The analyte was detected and positively identified. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and the bias is in determinable.

J- Estimated. The analyte was detected and positively identified. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and the bias is determined low due to associated quality control indicators.

J+ Estimated. The analyte was detected and positively identified. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and the bias is determined high due to associated quality control indicators.

N Tentatively identified. The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification.

UN Tentatively not detected, the LOQ is estimated. The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected above the reported LOQ. However, the reported LOQ is an estimate and may not be accurate or precise.

NJ Tentatively identified. The reported concentration is an estimate. The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte for which there is presumptive evidence to make a tentative identification and the associated numerical value represents the approximate concentration.

R Rejected. The data are not usable. The presence or absence of the analyte cannot be confirmed.

DATA VALIDATION QUALIFIER REASON CODES

Reason Code	Data Quality Condition Resulting in Assigned Qualification
General Use	
FB	Field blank contamination
FD	Field duplicate evaluation criteria not met
HT	Holding time requirement was not met
PR	Preservation requirements not met
LCS	Laboratory control sample evaluation criteria not met
MB	Method blank or preparation blank contamination
RB	Rinsate blank contamination
TB	Trip blank contamination
SDL	Sample quantitation limit exceeds decision criteria and the analyte was not detected
Inorganic Methods	
CCB	Continuing calibration blank contamination
CCV	Continuing calibration verification evaluation criteria not met
D	Laboratory duplicate precision evaluation criteria not met
DL	Serial dilution results did not met evaluation criteria
ICS	Interference check sample evaluation criteria not met
ICV	Initial calibration verification evaluation criteria not met
MS	Matrix spike recovery outside acceptance range
PDS	Post-digestion spike recovery outside acceptance range
MSA	Method of standard additions correlation coefficient < 0.995
PB	Preparation blank
Organic Methods	
CCAL	Continuing calibration evaluation criteria not met
ICAL	Initial calibration evaluation criteria not met
ID	Target compound identification criteria not met
IS	Internal standard evaluation criteria not met
MS/MSD	Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate accuracy and/or precision criteria not met
SUR	Surrogate recovery outside acceptance range
TUNE	Instrument performance (tuning) criteria not met
P	The detected concentration difference between the primary and secondary column is greater than 25%.

SAMPLE DELIVERY GROUP L13101499
LEVEL III DATA VALIDATION SUMMARY

Analysis / Method	Temperature	Holding Times	Calibration	Blanks	Surrogate	MS/MSD	LCS	Duplicate	Other
Perchlorate 6850	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	NA	✓	✓	NA
Explosives 8330B	✓	✓	**7**	✓	✓	NA	✓	NA	✓
Nitrate+Nitrite-N 353.2	✓	✓	✓	✓	NA	NA	✓	✓	✓

Notes:

✓ Indicates that all quality control criteria were met for the parameter(s)

N/A Indicates the validation criteria is not applicable to the analysis

If validation criteria were not met and the data were qualified, then details can be found at the page number indicated in the table.

DATA ASSESSMENT

PERCHLORATE (Method 6850)

I. Temperature

A. Shipping cooler temperature was measured at 2 °C upon receipt at the laboratory. Sample temperature was in compliance.

II. Holding Times

A. The analysis holding times were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

III. Calibration

A. Calibration coefficient of determination, alternate source calibration verification, and CCV, were reviewed and found compliant.

IV. Blanks

A. Method blank and CCB analysis results were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

V. MS/MSD

A. MS/MSD analyses were not reported for this SDG. MS/MSD were evidenced on the instrument run log but were not identified as a WSMR sample.

VI. LCS

A. The LCS results were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

VII. Duplicate

A. A field duplicate sample was not submitted for this SDG. The laboratory analyzed a LCS duplicate in lieu of the MS/MSD. LCS/LCSD precision result met specifications.

VIII. Other

A. Samples were analyzed at 5-times to 1,000-times dilutions due to high concentrations of the target analyte.

EXPLOSIVES (Method 8330B)

I. Temperature

A. Shipping cooler temperature was measured at 2 °C upon receipt at the laboratory. Sample temperature was in compliance.

II. Holding Times

A. The analysis holding times were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

III. Calibration

A. Calibration coefficient of determination, alternate source calibration verification, and CCV, were reviewed and found compliant with exceptions. Percent difference recoveries for the compound tetryl failed criteria in alternate source calibration check on the instrument designated HPLC4. The applicable analysis results from instrument HPLC4 were the confirmation analyses for samples HTA13-1013-1, HTA11-1013-1, and HTA10A-1013-01. Tetryl results from those analyses are qualified estimated non-detect with "UN." Reportable analysis results were from instrument HPLC5 which met all calibration check criteria.

IV. Blanks

A. Method blank and CCB analysis results were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

V. Surrogate Spikes

A. Surrogate spike recoveries were compliant in all sample analyses.

VI. MS/MSD

A. MS/MSD analyses were not reported for this SDG.

VII. LCS

A. The LCS results were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

VIII. Duplicate

A. A field duplicate sample was not submitted for this SDG.

IX. Other

A. Valid confirmation analysis results were reported for all analyte detections.

NITRATE + NITRITE AS NITROGEN (Method 353.2)

I. Temperature

A. Shipping cooler temperature was measured at 2 °C upon receipt at the laboratory. Sample temperature was in compliance.

II. Holding Times

A. The analysis holding times were reviewed and found to be in compliance.

III. Calibration

A. Calibration coefficient of correlation was reviewed and found compliant.

IV. Blanks

A. Method blank was reviewed and found to be in compliance.

V. MS/MSD

A. MS/MSD analyses were not reported for this SDG. MS/MSD performed in the analytical batch was on a non-project sample.

VI. LCS

A. The LCS results were reviewed and found to be in compliance

VII. Duplicate

A. A field duplicate sample was not submitted for analysis with this SDG. The laboratory analyzed a LCS duplicate. The results were reviewed and found to be compliant.

VIII. Other

A. LOQ was reviewed and found compliant.

DATA QUALIFICATION SUMMARY

CCWS-11, Open Burn/Open Detonation (OB/OD) Area, four samples; HTA13-1013-1, HTA12-1013-1, HTA11-1013-1, and HTA10A-1013-1.

Perchlorate – Data Qualification Summary

No sample data were qualified in this SDG.

Explosives – Data Qualification Summary

No reportable sample data were qualified in this SDG. A low recovery for tetryl in an alternate source check (initial calibration check) was noted and the tetryl result qualified "UN" for non-detect and estimated in the confirmation analyses which were not reportable.

Nitrate plus nitrite – Data Qualification Summary

No sample data were qualified in this SDG.

OVERALL ASSESSMENT OF DATA

I. Compliance with method and project requirements

A. All analyses were performed within the analytical methods specifications and project requirements.

II. Usability

A. Based on the quality control criteria reviewed, all unqualified data are usable for project purposes. No reportable data results were qualified during data validation. No data results were rejected as unusable. Data qualifiers assigned by the laboratory in the analytical report include the "J" qualifier when analytes were identified but at concentrations less than the LOQ. Estimated results are usable for limited purposes.